File: 5490038

EVENT VIOLATION INSPECTOR'S STATEMENT MINERALS REGULATORYPROGAM

Company/Mi Permit #: <u>S/0</u>	ne: Quality Building Stone / Amis #1 CO # MC-07-01-08 049/038 Violation # _1 of _1	
<u>SERIOUSNESS</u>		
1.	What type of event is applicable to the regulation cited? Refer to the DOGM reference list of event below and remember that the event is NOT the same as the violation . Mark and explain each event.	
	 a. Activity outside the approved permit area. b. Injury to the public (public safety). c. Damage to property. d. Conducting activities without appropriate approvals. e. Environmental harm. f. Water pollution. g. Loss of reclamation/revegetation potential. h. Reduced establishment, diverse and effective vegetative cover. i. No event occurred as a result of the violation. j. Other. 	
Explanation:	Operator had been warned that a reclamation surety needed to be provided and approved before operations were to resume.	
2.	Has the event or damage occurred? Yes If yes, describe it. If no, what would cause it to occur and what is the probability of the event(s) occurring? (None, Unlikely, Likely).	
Explanation:	During the inspection, evidence of recent mining activity was observed. Reclamation surety had not been provided or approved for this site.	
3. 4.	Did any damage occur as a result of the violation? NO If yes, describe the duration and extent of the damage or impact. How much damage may have occurred if the violation had not bee discovered by a DOGM inspector? Describe this potential damage and whether or not it would extend off the disturbed and/or permit area.	
Explanation:		

B. <u>DF</u>	EGREE OF FAULT (Check the statements which apply to the violation and discuss).
	Was the violation not the fault of the operator (due to vandalism or an act of God), explain. Remember that the permittee is considered responsible for the actions of all persons working on the mine site.
Explanation:	
\boxtimes	Was the violation the result of not knowing about DOGM regulations, indifference to DOGM regulations or the result of lack of reasonable care.
Explanatic	Operator had been informed several times of the need to provide a reclamation surety for this site. While operator knew of the requirement, indiference to complying with this rule seems apparent.
	If the actual or potential environmental harm or harm to the public should have been evident to a careful operator, describe the situation and what, if anything, the operator did to correct it prior to being cited.
Explanation:	
	Was the operator in violation of a specific permit condition?
Explanation:	
	Has DOGM cited the violation in the past? If so, give the dates and the type of warning or enforcement action taken.
Explanatio	on:
	Was any economic benefit gained by the operator for failure to comply? Yes
If yes explain.	
Explanatio	on: Operator was operating without the cost of providing the reclamation surety (either as posting cash, cd, or paying premiums for a hard surety or LOC.

GOOD FAITH

Complete information to evaluate good faith is not available at this time. CO has not been terminated.

1. In order to receive good faith for compliance with an NOV or CO, the violation must have been abated before the abatement deadline. If you think this applies, describe how rapid compliance was achieved (give date) and describe the measures the operator took to comply as rapidly as possible.

Explanation: _____

2. Explain whether or not the operator had the necessary resources on site to achieve compliance.

Explanation: _____

3. Was the submission of plans prior to physical activity required by this NOV / CO? NO If yes, explain.

Explanation: _____

Lynn Kunzler

Authorized Representative

Signatury S

August 30, 2007